

INTELLIGENCE EVALUATION SHEETIES Control Number: "0"Source Number: 101

SECTION I

Collection Requirement:

Determining if Remote Viewing can be successfully utilized as a HUMINT collection effort against foreign personalities of interest to the NIS intelligence community.

Collection Requirement Generated By:
(ICR/CIR/DIRM/INITIATIVE/OTHER)

Does the information satisfy your Intelligence Collection Requirement?	YES	IN PART	NO	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

A narrative explanation is required:

— A high degree of correlation of target descriptions by Source 101 and Source 021 is indicative that individuals appear readily accessible as target personalities by remote viewers.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

(classification)

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided, not its usefulness. Responses are scaled from 0-3 utilizing the following explanatory criteria. If a source has not addressed a particular item then select UNKNOWN. If a source describes an item which cannot be verified then select CANNOT BE DETERMINED.

0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
IIa - Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
IIb - Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, rail yards, silos, docks, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
IIc - Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>
IId.- General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/>

(classification)

0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.

1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.

2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.

3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.

IIe - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/research, missile testing etc.	0-3	UNKNOWN	CANNOT BE DETERMINED
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

IIIf - Personalities at <i>See Below</i> site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivity, support for government.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

II Ig - Data of predictive <i>See Below</i> importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

A narrative elaboration is requested:

If cumulation of information with data provided by Source 021 is indicative of correspondence to the target.
IIg accessibility to target personalities appears readily feasible.

Future exercises involving data of a predictive nature in the area of target personalities, will require the levy of refined intelligence questions dashed against specific (past/future) time windows.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

(classification)

SECTION III

Please check which best describes the intelligence value of the information provided.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
VERY USEFUL	USEFUL	MARGINAL VALUE	NO VALUE	CANNOT BE DETERMINED

Narrative comment required. Explain how information provided will be used (i.e. confirm other intelligence sources, targeting, etc.). If information is of marginal or no value, explain why.

current results of Source 101 and Source 021 efforts against personalities of foreign intelligence interest ~~confirm~~ past findings that accurate viewing can be used effectively against target personalities of foreign intelligence interest.

(Use a continuation sheet if necessary.)

72

(classification)

SECTION IV

This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below or additional sheets of paper as necessary to convey your thoughts.

- Normally, biographic data reported by Intelligence Information Report warrants no specific evaluation; such data is presumed to be of value.
- Foreign intelligence reflecting plans and intentions of a foreign leadership is normally considered of "high value" at a minimum.
- A suitably refined, intelligence question related to "Plans & Intentions." could be included as part of the EET for this type of target.

SGFOIA3

EVALUATOR'S NAME AND OFFICE:

SIGNATURE:

DATE OF EVALUATION:

3 April 1987

(classification)

PROJECT O-1

3

2

1

0

7

-

021

101

REMOTE VIEWER

Project "O"-1

Interviewer/Monitor Tasking

Describe Person:

(See envelope for cues)

Suggestions → Physical Description:

- Profession;
- Habits;
- Education;
- Location (new?);
- Health;
- Telepathic Message?
- etc.

Note: In the envelope(s) (don't open it) are specific identifying documents.